

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,632	02/26/2007	Narcis Lagares Corominas	TJA-141US 4370	
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 10/10/2007 STIA	EXAM	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 980			PRICE, RICHARD THOMAS JR	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

. 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summary	10/580,632	LAGARES COROMINAS, NARCIS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas Price	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 A	<u>ugust 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
· ·	/					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		,				
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5-24-2006	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 9, "antagonist means" is improper because the "means" statement lacks the commensurate functional language statement. Further, the claim language "acting against an antagonist means" appears to be a method step in an apparatus claim. On line 5, the phrase "which are rotated" is unclear as to which element is being rotated. On line 6, the phrase "defining an aperture" is unclear as to which elements the Applicant is referring to. On line 8, the phrase "with the possibility of moving further" is unclear as to whether the Applicant is positively claim element or the element's function. On line 9, the phrase "acting against an antagonist means" is unclear as to which element is acting against the antagonist means. On lines 10 and 11, the phrase "pulled along and pass between the two tenderizer elements by gravity" is not a true statement. it is the turning of the tenderizer elements which allows the pieces of meat to travel. further, "the two tenderizer elements" is unclear as to which elements the Applicant is referring to. On line 13, "each pair of rollers" lacks prior antecedent basis in the claims. On line 14, "at least one movable tenderizer rollers" lacks prior antecedent basis in the claims. In claim 4, line 2, the two elements" lacks prior antecedent basis in the claims. In claim 6, line 5, "its ends" is unclear as to which element the Applicant is referring to.

Art Unit: 3643

In claim 12, line 6, "by means of" is an improper "means" statement, and an appropriate functional statement is needed. Similarly, see also claims 14 and 16.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The term "antagonist means" lacks prior antecedent basis in the specification.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Price whose telephone number is 571-272-6892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30a.m. to 3:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,632

Art Unit: 3643

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272000.

Thomas Price

Primary Examiner GAU: 3643

September 29, 2007

rtp